UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

Case No. 24-CR-20488-HUCK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
v.	
SHAWANA ALLEN,	
Defendant.	

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON CHANGE OF PLEA

THIS CAUSE was before the Court following an Order of Referral to conduct a proceeding for acceptance of a guilty plea by Defendant Shawana Allen. Based upon the change of plea hearing conducted on February 6, 2025, the undersigned makes the following findings and recommends that the guilty plea be accepted.

1. This Court advised Defendant of her right to have these proceedings conducted by the District Judge assigned to the case and that this Court was conducting the change of plea hearing pursuant to an Order of Referral from the District Court. This Court further advised Defendant that the District Judge assigned to this case would be the sentencing judge and would make all findings and rulings concerning Defendant's sentence. This Court advised Defendant that she did not have to permit the undersigned United States Magistrate Judge to conduct this hearing and could request that the change of plea hearing be conducted by the District Judge assigned to the case. Defendant, Defendant's attorney, and the Assistant United States Attorney all consented on the record to this Court conducting the change of plea hearing.

- 2. This Court conducted a plea colloquy in accordance with the outline set forth in the Bench Book for District Judges and in accordance with Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11.
- 3. Defendant pled guilty to Counts 1, 2, and 3 of the Indictment, which charge her with three counts of wire fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343. The Court advised Defendant that the maximum sentence that may be imposed, on each count, is a sentence up to 20 years of imprisonment, followed by a term of supervised release of up to three years. In addition to any term of imprisonment, the Court may impose a fine of up to \$250,000.00, and the Court will assess a mandatory special assessment of \$100.00 per count, which is due and the Defendant has agreed is due to be paid at the time of sentencing. Defendant was also advised of the possibility of restitution, forfeiture, and the potential for immigration consequences including deportation. Defendant acknowledged that she understood the possible maximum penalties that could be imposed in the case.
 - 4. To set forth the factual basis for the entry of the plea, the Government proffered the facts it would have established at trial. The Government established all the essential elements of the crimes to which Defendant is pleading guilty. Defendant acknowledged that the facts proffered by the Government were accurate, and defense counsel agreed that the proffer satisfied all elements of the crime charged. The written Factual Proffer, signed by Defendant after reviewing her counsel, was made part of the docket.
 - 5. Defendant acknowledged that she is satisfied with her attorney and that she has had a full opportunity to discuss all facets of her case with her attorney. Defendant indicated that she has reviewed discovery with counsel.
 - 6. Based upon all of the foregoing and the plea colloquy conducted by this Court, the undersigned finds that Defendant is fully competent and capable of entering an informed plea,

2

that Defendant is aware of the nature of the charge and the consequences of the plea, and that the

plea of guilty is a knowing and voluntary plea supported by an independent basis in fact

containing each of the essential elements of the offense. In addition, the undersigned specifically

finds that the Defendant's waiver of her right to appeal her sentence in this case is knowing and

voluntary.

7. Therefore, the undersigned **RECOMMENDS** that Defendant be found to have freely and

voluntarily entered her guilty plea to Counts 1, 2, and 3 of the Indictment filed in this case, and

that Defendant be adjudicated guilty of those offenses.

8. A pre-sentence investigation report is being prepared for the District Court by the United

States Probation Office. The undersigned recommends that a sentencing hearing be set by

separate order.

The Parties will have THREE (3) CALENDAR DAYS from the date of service of this

Report and Recommendation within which to file written objections, if any, for consideration by

the United States District Judge. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 59(b), Eleventh

Circuit Rule 3-1, and accompanying Internal Operating Procedure 3, the Parties are hereby notified

that failure to object in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) waives the right to challenge on

appeal the District Court's order based on unobjected-to factual and legal conclusions. See Thomas

3

v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985).

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED in Chambers in Miami, Florida, this 6th day of

February, 2025.

LAUREN F. LOUIS

aule

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

cc: The Honorable Paul C. Huck

Counsel of record